

Identifying Sources and Sinks of Organic Carbon along Fanno Creek, Oregon

USGS, Oregon Water Science Center, Portland, Oregon

Project Background

The goal of this project is to identify sources and sinks of organic carbon in Fanno Creek, a tributary of the Tualatin River, Oregon, by characterizing sedimentation patterns in the stream. Organic carbon, a water-quality concern, is commonly tied to the fine-grained, organic-rich sediment in the watershed (Bonn and Rounds, 2010). This study builds on previous research (Simon and others, 2011) that identified several sites along Fanno Creek that are widening and unstable. Final results from the current study will focus on determining erosion and deposition features (e.g., cutbanks and floodplains), quantifying sediment transport volumes, and producing maps identifying likely sources and sinks of carbon-rich sediment along Fanno Creek.

We are using an intensive field based approach to quantify volumes and rates of erosion and deposition at seven study sites. Study sites are distributed among three focus areas: upper, middle, and lower basin. Site selection was based on previous bank stability research by Simon and others (2011) and prior USGS studies in the Fanno Creek basin (Rounds and Doyle, 1997; Anderson and Rounds, 2010). A combination of erosion pins, deposition plates, and cross-sections are being used to monitor the interplay of sediment throughout the basin. Overall, our initial observations indicate that: (1) a substantial portion of the banks along Fanno Creek are undercut and likely a source of sediment to the channel, (2) more dynamic erosion and deposition occurs at stream meander sites than at more linear sites, and (3) sediment sources and sinks are largely determined by discharge and local stream geology (e.g., hardpan clay substrate).







Erosion Techniques



Installation

•An array installed on both banks at each meander and straight site at low stage •Arrays varied between 3 to 4 pins down by 3 to 5 across with 30 cm horizontal and vertical spacing

•Pins driven horizontally into bank leaving 5 cm exposed

Materials

Following methods similar o Laubel and others (2000), Staley and others (2006), and Utley and others (2008) •30-cm-long steel nails Nail heads painted or spray painted for easy relocation in the field

B

Μ

D

W

e

B



Measurement

•Measure at low stage after igh flow events (~17 m³/s at the Durham gage) or monthly,

whichever is more frequent •Measure exposed pin length with a ruler

•Reset/replace pin if more than 12.5 cm is exposed, the pin is completely buried, or the pin is n1881n9

Deposition Techniques

Materials

•Following methods similar t Kleiss (1996) and Heimann and Roell (2000) •30 cm by 30 cm square,

acrylic glass plates •Holes drilled in the center of the plates and plates were scuffed with sand paper on

one side •30-cm-long rod, nuts, and washers to anchor plates



Measurement

•Collect sediment after high flow events or monthly, whichever is more frequent •Scrape sediment from plate into plastic bag, record wetted weight in the field

•Freeze samples for future dry weight measurements, carbon isotope analysis, and grainsize distribution

Installation

Two plates installed at each site in the lower and middle basin. two additional at a site flooded from a beaver dam. and one at the upper basin meander site

Anchored to ground on low topographic benches within the channel and on the floodplain



by Mackenzie Keith (mkeith@usgs.gov), Steven Sobieszczyk (ssobie@usgs.gov), Jim O'Connor (oconnor@usgs.gov), Stewart Rounds (sarounds@usgs.gov), Jami Goldman (jgoldman@usgs.gov) **Preliminary Assessment** Figure 4. Cross section at upper basin straig ure 3. Cross section at upper basin mean **EXPLANATION** Upper basin stallation Jan 4 rch 31, 2012 at 3 stream E1-1st erosion neasurement Distance, in meters Distance, in meters pin measurements (E1 and E2) **D1**-1st deposition and sediment collection from plate collection Feb 2 deposition plates (D1). **Deposition Plate** Meander **Erosion Pins D1**: 12.9 g of sediment collected Meander E1: Erosion (15.86 dm³) on outside undercut bank and deposition (4.25 dm^3) on inside bank **E2**: Erosion (7.08 dm³) and deposition Undercut banks along Fanno Creek contribute large amounts of sediment to the (5.95 dm^3) at outside and inside banks. channel. Based on our inital field measurements, greater volumes of sediment are respectively Distance from mouth (km) being eroded and deposited at stream bends than at straight segments and the Straight Drainage area (km²) 3.1 middle basin sites are more active. Also, sediment volume measurements likely 0.52 E1: Minor erosion Drainage density (km/km²) are dependent on discharge and local stream geomorphology and substrate. Beaverton-Hillsdale Reach slope (m/m) 0.0132 $(0.85 \text{ to } 3.40 \text{ dm}^3)$ Further observations at the study sites and field-based mapping will support Mean annual discharge (m³/s, USGS 14206900) 0.09 E2: Minor deposition Site geology: near the transition of terrestrial sedimentary where and how much sediment is moving through the Fanno Creek basin. $(0.57 \text{ to } 3.96 \text{ dm}^3)$ rocks to catastrophic flood deposits (Hillsboro Formation Missoula Floods boundary) Investigation continues..... Figure 6. Cross section at middle basin straight gure 5. Cross setion at middle basin meande Future plans for characterizing the balance between erosion and sedimentation in the Fanno Creek basin include: 1) complete preliminary, remote mapping of potential sediment sources and sinks from LiDAR topography, including analysis of LiDAR derivatives such as slope and curvature maps Distance, in meters Distance, in meters 2) expand mapping effort with field-**Deposition Plates** intensive investigation during the Meander low-flow season to pinpoint discrete **D1**: 399.9 and 807.7 g areas of sediment exchange that are Straight **Erosion Pins** critical to the role of organic carbon in **D1**: 66.3 and 302.7 g Meander the Fanno Creek basin E1: Erosion and bank failure Beaver dam **D1**: 83.2 and 198 g $(3.11 \text{ to } 42.19 \text{ dm}^3)$ **E2**: Erosion observed $(3.96 \text{ to } 9.06 \text{ dm}^3)$ Straight E1: Deposition on both banks $(1.70 \text{ to } 3.68 \text{ dm}^3)$ Distance from mouth (km) 13.6, meander 3) continue measurement of erosion pins and 13.5, straight **E2**: Some erosion deposition plates over the course of the Drainage area (km²) 26.9 (7.08 dm^3) and minor water year and resurvey cross sections at Drainage density (km/km²) deposition (0.28 dm^3) current sites Greenway Reach slope (m/m) 0.0010 Mean annual discharge (m³/s; OWRD 14206920) NA Site geology: Catastrophic flood deposits (Missoula Floods) 4) analyze deposited material for grain-size composition and use the composite site samples Figure 8. Cross section at lower basin straight. for isotopic analysis of carbon ross section at lower basin straight. References 260 Anderson, C.W. and Rounds, S.A., 2010, Use of continuous monitors and autosamplers to predict unmeasured water-quality constituents in tributaries of the Tualitin River, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Distance, in meters Investigation Report 2010-5008, 76 p. Distance, in meters onn, B.A. and Rounds, S.A., 2010, Use of stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen to identify sources of organic matter to bed sediments of the Tualatin River, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations **Deposition Plates** Report 2010-5154, 58 p. Meander Ieimann, D.C. and Roell, M.J., 2000, Sediment loads and accumulation in a small riparian wetland system in northern Missouri: Wetlands, v. 20, no. 2, p. 219-231. D1: Submerged, no measurement **Erosion Pins** Kleiss, B.A., 1996, Sediment retention in a bottomland hardwood wetland in eastern Arkansas: Wetlands, v. 16, Straight no. 3, p. 321-333. Meander **D1**: 389.2 and 455.5 g Laubel, A.R., Kronvang, Brian, Larsen, S.E., Pedersen, M.L., and Svendsen, L.M., 2000, Bank Erosion as a E1: Submerged, no measurement Source of Sediment and Phosphorus Delivery to Small Danish Streams: in Stone, M., ed., The Role of E2: Submerged, no measurement Erosion and Sediment Transport in Nutrient and Contaminant Transfer, p. 75-82. Rounds, S.A. and Doyle, M.C., 1997, Sediment oxygen demand in the Tualatin River basin, Oregon, 1992-1996: Straigh U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 97-4103, 19 p. **E1**: Erosion (15.29 dm³) and Simon, A., Bankhead, N., Klimetz, L., and Thomas, R.E., 2011, Evaluation of bed and bank stability along deposition (8.50 dm^3) selected stream reaches within the Tualitin River basin: Agricultural Research Service National Distance from mouth (km) 2.35, straight; Sedimentation Laboratory Technical Report 75, 179 p. and appendix. 0.35 meander **E2**: Erosion (0.85 dm³) Staley, N.A., Wynn, T.M., Benham, Brian, and Yagow, Gene, 2006, Modeling Channel Erosion at the 80.5, straight; Drainage area (km²) and deposition Watershed Scale - Model Review and Case Study: Center for TMDL and Watershed Studies, BSE 82.1, meande (4.25 dm^3) on same banks Document Number 2006-0009, 123 p. Drainage density (km/km²) J.S. Geological Survey, 2012, Oregon StreamStats interactive map: U.S. Geological Survey, accessed at 0.0016 Durham Reach slope (m/m) http://water.usgs.gov/osw/streamstats/oregon.html. Mean annual discharge (m³/s; USGS 14296950) 1.24

Site geology: catastrophic flood deposits (Missoula Floods) at the straight site; Quaternary surficial deposits (Tualatin River) at the meander site







J.S. Geological Survey, 2012, National Water Information System: Web interface: U.S. Geological Survey,

accessed at http://waterdata.usgs.gov/or/nwis/current/?type=flow&group_key=basin_cd. Utley, B.C. and Wynn, T.M., 2008, Spatial and Temporal Changes in Bank Retreat Rates in a Small Headwater Stream: American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting, abstract #H44A-06.